

From: Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]>
To: "jeffrey E." <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Subject: Fwd: trust
Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2017 15:30:57 +0000

for the letter Noam has requested to Deborah or for the accounting: nothing.

Do you understand what is going on?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sun, Oct 29, 2017 at 5:03 PM
Subject: Re: trust
To: Deborah Pechet Quinan <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

That sounds right to us.

Noam

On Sun, Oct 29, 2017 at 1:00 PM, Deborah Pechet Quinan <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>
> Dear Noam,
> I will do so. Do you want me to hold off regarding the memo I was planning to prepare for you, pending the discussion with your children? The memo may not be necessary yet.
> Best,
> Deborah
>
> Sent from my iPhone. Please excuse brevity and typos.

> On Oct 29, 2017, at 2:20 PM, Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

> Thanks for the informative letter. I would appreciate it if you could craft a letter, as you suggest, which I will use to contact all three children.

>
> Thanks
>
> Noam
>

> ----- Forwarded message -----

> **From:** Deborah Pechet Quinan <[REDACTED]>
> **Date:** Fri, Oct 27, 2017 at 4:56 PM
> **Subject:** Fwd: trust
> **To:** Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>
> **Cc:** "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>, Gene Landy <[REDACTED]>

>
>
> Dear Noam,
>
> You are waiting for me to provide guidance as to how you can regain control of the trust funds. My plan is to

prepare a memo for you outlining your options in light of the trust terms. I anticipate being able to spend some time on this over the weekend, along with reviewing the drafts of your estate planning documents. Once I have sent the memo to you we can discuss your thoughts and we can then respond to Max. Unfortunately this is a difficult situation, not simple and straightforward, because of the terms of the trust and fiduciary law applicable to trusts. Please see my email of 10/17 for an explanation of some of the issues.

>
> In some situations a trust is drafted so that you would have the right to remove and replace the trustee, and in doing so perhaps find a trustee that would not inquire so diligently as to your personal financial circumstances before making a principal distribution to you. In this regard, please refer to my email of 10/17 where I summarize the trustee removal, resignation and appointment provisions. The trustees can both resign at any time. They appoint successors subject to your approval. If they agree to resign you can find a new independent trustee whom they can appoint. If not, you can remove them but only with the approval of a majority of your children. Do you think your daughters would agree to remove Max and Harry? If so you could then appoint a successor. You would need to find an independent trustee. I can help you craft a letter to your daughters if you think they might agree to do this.

>
> My one additional thought right now that I need to review more closely is that Harry, not being the independent trustee, should not have a say in the distribution decision. If there is nothing in the trust to contradict this interpretation (I need to review the trust again) then Max alone has the power. However this would likely not change the analysis that Max believes he needs to go through since he is accountable to all beneficiaries. He believes he needs some level of financial information from you but in my view that does not need to be detailed. I can discuss with him what level of detail would satisfy him; however, if my understanding is correct that you do not wish to provide him with any backup for his distribution decision, then we should evaluate the removal option and any other strategies I may be able to come up with.

>
> Unfortunately this is not a simple situation and I will do my best to outline some additional options for you. In the meantime please let me know your thoughts regarding the above. I am available for a call as well, at your convenience.

>
> Best,
> Deborah

>
> Sent from my iPhone. Please excuse brevity and typos.

>
> Begin forwarded message:

>
> From: Max Kohlenberg <[REDACTED]>
> Date: October 27, 2017 at 1:21:50 PM EDT
> To: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>
> Cc: "Deborah Pechet Quinan, Esq." <[REDACTED]>
> Subject: Re: trust

>
> Hello Noam -

>
> I'm afraid I cannot send the money you have requested without further discussion with you and I also feel I cannot have such a discussion with you without Deborah's knowledge and participation, as she is your attorney. Please also note that any distributions from the trusts would also involve Harry, who is the co-trustee of the trusts.

>
> As you will see, I have again copied Deborah on my reply. I will be glad to follow up with a meeting or a call when I return, next week.

>
> Thanks,

>

> Max

>
>
>

> Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

>
>

> ----- Original message -----

> From: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>
> Date: 10/27/17 5:14 PM (GMT+00:00)
> To: Max Kohlenberg <[REDACTED]>
> Subject: Fwd: trust

>

> There seems to be some confusion. I was responding to your letter of Oct. 16, in which you said you would be getting back to me the next day. That was the last time we were in touch. Since I didn't hear from you, I wrote again, last night.

>

> My letter to you explained the reasons for my request for a distribution from the marital trust. To repeat, "I would like to get on with my life and work without delay. I've worked hard for 70 years, put away a substantial sum of money. I surely have the right to access it."

>

> I don't see what further explanation is necessary, or why it is necessary to bring Deborah into this. I could add that as I approach 90, having worked hard for 70 years and put away a substantial sum of money, I not only have the right to some peace and tranquillity to go on with my life and work, but also to do so without facing an inquisition.

>

> If you are unable to transfer the money this week , could you please detail for me the reasons behind the decision.

>

> Thanks,

>

> Noam

>

> ----- Forwarded message -----

> From: Max Kohlenberg <[REDACTED]>
> Date: Wed, Oct 25, 2017 at 10:55 PM
> Subject: RE: trust
> To: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>
> Cc: Deborah Pechet Quinan <[REDACTED]>

>

>

> Good morning (from Scotland) Noam –

>

>

>

> When we were last in touch on this I replied with a cc to Deborah (who I have copied again here) and I understood that you, she and Valeria had discussed my need (as trustee) to know something more about the background for this request and your anticipated needs going forward. Deborah got back to me and indicated that the call she and I had tentatively scheduled was being cancelled.

>

>

>

> If you would like me to reschedule a call with Deborah, or a meeting, [REDACTED] be glad to do that. I'll be back on Tuesday.

> To: Max Kohlenberg <[REDACTED]>

>

> Subject: Re: trust

>

>

>

> I meant \$500,000.

>

>

>

> Noam

>

>

>

> On Mon, Oct 16, 2017 at 9:00 PM, Max Kohlenberg <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>

> Forgive me for asking Noam, but did you mean \$500,000, or \$50,000?

>

>

>

> Thanks,

>

>

>

>

>

> Max

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

> Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

>

>

>

>

>

> ----- Original message -----

>

> From: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

>

> Date: 10/16/17 6:44 PM (GMT-05:00)

>

> To: Max Kohlenberg <[REDACTED]>

>

> Subject: trust

>

>

>

> Dear Max,

>

