From: "Martin G. Weinberg" <███████████>
To: "jeffrey E." <jeevacation@gmail.com>, <███████████>
Subject: Re: ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE
Date: Thu, 23 Aug 2018 13:09:02 +0000
Importance: Normal

Surprised ██████ case not dismissed on insufficient claims under 1591. Answers start walking you down road toward waiving 5th so have your civil lawyers take that into consideration eg affirmative defenses, factual claims.

From: jeffrey E.
Sent: Thursday, August 23, 2018 8:39 AM
To: Martin G. Weinberg
Subject: Re: ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

thx   he is nuts, this was looked at over and over , he is psychotic.   will go through the normal . are you in contact with alan. the ███████████ case was not dimsisied on motion and she says she was forced to give alan oral sex with another girl.   it never ends

On Thu, Aug 23, 2018 at 8:31 AM, Martin G. Weinberg <███████████> wrote:

> Law 360 reported the civil securities case. Having just finished a criminal securities case, I know the SOL and how that works to protect defendants from being charged for 30 yr old events but if you want I could research the civil SOL to see how it matches. Factually, the idea that no one knew despite the charges against Hoffenberg seems very weak but whether that legally matters should be looked into If not by me, than by someone.
> Martin G Weinberg PC
> ████████
>
> Boston, MA 02116
> ████████
> ████████

[ ] Virus-free. www.avast.com

--
    please note
The information contained in this communication is
confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may
constitute inside information, and is intended only for
the use of the addressee. It is the property of
JEE
Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this
communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited
and may be unlawful. If you have received this
communication in error, please notify us immediately by
return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and
destroy this communication and all copies thereof,
including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved