

**From:** Richard Kahn <[REDACTED]>

**To:** michael glidden <[REDACTED]>

**Cc:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, LSJ GSJ <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Re: F-250

**Date:** Thu, 08 Nov 2018 16:35:15 +0000

**Attachments:** doc03692020181108113726.pdf

---

mike i do not agree that this truck was intended to haul lumber the way it was shown in picture i sent...  
in addition i do not believe it is fair that you blame anna for previous repairs done to the truck

regardless anna has not used the truck for over 6 months..

can you please explain the Oct 15th estimate below for repairs to broken glass and drivers seat..

thank you

>

Richard Kahn



> On Nov 8, 2018, at 10:43 AM, michael glidden <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>

> Good day.

> That is a good action photo of the truck doing exactly what it is intended to do, haul material as it generally does on a daily basis.

> On this particular day it was being unloaded of used dock wood Mr Epstein has requested be used for the tiki structures on GSJ.

> You are mistaken in asserting that it was said the truck would never leave St Thomas as it does on a somewhat frequent basis as part of it's role in the LSJ fleet going to both GSJ and LSJ as needs require.

> I believe that the only issue Brice and myself had was with who had access to use the truck prior to it being repaired and the only reason those repairs were needed was because of who had regular, unfettered access to the truck prior to those repairs.

> Although considerably over optioned for the purpose, at the time of purchase, it is a work truck and is utilized as such.

> The issue of the amount of dollars spent on repair is in direct relation to the amount of respect and consideration given the vehicle by those previously in charge of it.

> Thank you for the time allowed for this explanation.

> MG.

>

>> On Nov 7, 2018, at 6:07 PM, Richard Kahn <[REDACTED]> wrote:

