

From: J <jeevacation@gmail.com>

To: Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Re: mediation

Date: Tue, 23 Oct 2018 16:40:36 +0000

ok as to mediator

On 10/23/18, Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

> What do you think of the proposed mediator? Should be Noam the one to
> recommend a mediator?

> Could you please write to Noam and me how do you think the proposals should
> be presented based on Vincent's email below.

> Thank you!!!

> Valeria

>

> ----- Forwarded message -----

> From: Vincent Pisegna <[REDACTED]>

> Date: Tue, Oct 23, 2018 at 12:00 PM

> Subject: mediation

> To: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

> Cc: Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]>, Jason B. Curtin <

> [REDACTED]>

>

>

> The lawyers believe that Ray Madoff,

> <https://www.bc.edu/bc-web/schools/law/academics-faculty/faculty-directory/ray-madoff.html>,

> could serve as mediator for this case, subject to your approval. I think

> you would benefit from a call to discuss what you want to accomplish in the

> mediation, i.e., what your settlement position is going to be. Let's set

> up a call in the next day or so if you can to go over these points, and in

> the meantime give some thought to your settlement position. I'm available

> 2:00 – 4:00 today my time, 10:00 – 12:00 tomorrow my time and maybe

> tomorrow afternoon.

>

>

>

> Vincent J. Pisegna

>

> Krokidas & Bluestein LLP

>

> 600 Atlantic Avenue

>

> Boston, MA 02210

>

> [REDACTED]

>

> [REDACTED]

> [REDACTED]

>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

> *From:* Vincent Pisegna
> *Sent:* Monday, October 22, 2018 3:03 PM
> *To:* 'Noam Chomsky' <[REDACTED]>
> *Cc:* Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]>
> *Subject:* RE: meeting tomorrow

>
>
>

> The meeting this morning went well. We agreed to participate in
> mediation. We agreed to select a mediator by the end of the week. Harry's
> lawyer was not very aggressive and spoke about not having someone to speak
> to to try to settle the case. She said Harry "knows where you're coming
> from". I'll keep you apprised. Let me know if you want to do a call.

>
>
>

> Vincent J. Pisegna
>
> Krokidas & Bluestein LLP
>
> 600 Atlantic Avenue
>
> Boston, MA 02210

> [REDACTED]
> [REDACTED]
> [REDACTED]
> [REDACTED]
> [REDACTED]
> [REDACTED]
> [REDACTED]

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> *From:* Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>
> *Sent:* Sunday, October 21, 2018 3:37 PM
> *To:* Vincent Pisegna <[REDACTED]>
> *Cc:* Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]>
> *Subject:* Re: meeting tomorrow

>
>
>

> Thanks. Hope all goes well.

>

>

>

> Noam

>

>

>

> On Sun, Oct 21, 2018 at 11:52 AM Vincent Pisegna <[REDACTED]>

> wrote:

>

> You have no role in the meeting tomorrow. The only agenda item is whether
> it makes sense to do mediation at this time. The party pushing for what
> would be early mediation is Max so I expect his lawyer to carry the ball.

>

> Sent from my iPhone

>

>> On Oct 21, 2018, at 2:24 PM, Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>>

>> Is there anything we should know about our role in the Monday meeting?

>>

>> It occurred to us that Harry's lawyer might bring up what was stated and
> insinuated in his petition: that the reason why he and Max should control
> the marital trust is to protect me from wild over-spending following my
> marriage to Valeria.

>>

>> I went through the details in my earlier letters to you, and to repeat
> the essence, there isn't a particle of truth to it. There were indeed
> withdrawals from my IRA beyond the mandatory withdrawal. The reason was
> that half of the mandatory withdrawal was being distributed to children,
> children's spouses, and grandchildren, and the other half was used for
> management fees and taxes for the whole estate. In addition I was paying
> medical expenses for Harry's son and about \$50,000 for upkeep of the summer
> cottage owned by the three children. All of that of course required extra
> withdrawals, with a tax penalty. my request to Harry and Max for relief
> from the marital trust for payment of the exorbitant taxes was denied
> without unacceptable conditions. It took several years to deal with the
> consequences in extensive taxes, but that is finally over.

>>

>> In general, we are unwilling to accept any interference with our lives on
> any pretext.

>>

>> Just in case it comes up.

>>

>> Noam

>

>

>

> If you are not the intended recipient of the confidential and privileged
> information in this email please delete it, notify us immediately by return
> email and do not copy, use or disseminate such information. Pursuant to IRS
> Circular 230, any tax advice in this email or attachments may not be used
> to avoid tax penalties or to promote, market or recommend any matter
> addressed herein.

>
>
> -----
>
> If you are not the intended recipient of the confidential and privileged
> information in this email please delete it, notify us immediately by return
> email and do not copy, use or disseminate such information. Pursuant to IRS
> Circular 230, any tax advice in this email or attachments may not be used
> to avoid tax penalties or to promote, market or recommend any matter
> addressed herein.
>

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved