

**From:** "jeffrey E." <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
**To:** "Barry J. Cohen" <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Re: 000's  
**Date:** Tue, 19 Sep 2017 20:10:43 +0000

---

re e and Y of course they wouldnt


On Tue, Sep 19, 2017 at 4:03 PM, Barry J. Cohen <[REDACTED]> wrote:

John Cook at EY said they would not sign a return that uses the 000s method. They said that there are too many footing problems. Numbers won't tie to K-1s, W-2s, 1099s, etc. Also, if you only use it on part of the return, the various numbers on the return would not match internally. He also pointed out that this method effectively truncates numbers; though I'm not sure what rounding rules you use. E.g., 101,499 become 101? What happens to the other \$499?

Joe V likes the idea of putting in 0's instead of blanks. He says he uses "none". He did not understand why this would affect the statute of limitations.

Barry J. Cohen |

Elysium Management, LLC |



--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com), and

destroy this communication and all copies thereof,  
including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved