

From: J <jeevacation@gmail.com>

To: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Re: Noam thinks he has no evidence for this . Could you write him?

Date: Sun, 14 Oct 2018 23:24:19 +0000

great

On Sun, Oct 14, 2018 at 6:58 PM Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

OK. How's this.

I am sure I am not the only person who has worked hard all his life, ensured that his family is well cared for while he is alive and after his death, and entrusted his own personal financial affairs to a trust and estate lawyer, expecting to spend his final years without concerns about these matters. I will relate my own experiences with my former lawyer, A. Max Kohlenberg, a partner of the firm Howland Evangelista Kohlenberg, LLP. Perhaps these experiences may provide a lesson for others.

When my first wife died in 2008, I was 80 years old. I knew that my children and grandchildren were well cared for, with trusts and other investments that I had already set up for them, and with valuable property that had been transmitted to them. After my first wife's death, having no financial expertise and no wish to pay attention to my personal financial affairs, I retained an estate lawyer. I assumed that it would not be problematic, having been a full professor at MIT for many years, with a pension that had been restructured as investments. I entrusted my affairs completely to Mr. Kohlenberg and to an investment firm (Bainco) with which he had close connections. I resigned from my position as trustee of a Marital Trust that my first wife and I had set up earlier with the intention that it be available to the survivor of the two of us, and would then pass on to our children after the survivor's death. I asked my son Harry to replace me as trustee, along with Mr. Kohlenberg as co-trustee.

In 2014 I remarried and accordingly began to think about the future. I was greatly surprised to find out that I faced serious financial problems. I learned that my only stable sole source of income was an IRA, which was being depleted by distributions to family along with taxes and management fees for the whole estate, encompassing the entire mandatory withdrawal. Therefore, even for ordinary personal expenses, I had to make additional withdrawals, with a tax penalty.

The Marital Trust was supposed to be paying an annual income to me, but I have no record of having received any payments. Recently, independent financial advisers have informed me that the trustees had put me in long-term partnership investments, and that in general the investments of the Trust were designed in ways that would reduce income to me – even if I received it – leaving the Trust larger at my death. My home in the suburbs and a summer cottage that I owned had been already been transferred to my children.

My second wife and I realized that at age 86, it was advisable to move to an apartment in town with no steps, no snow removal and other such concerns, and no long commute to my office at MIT. That of course increased expenses since I had been living rent free and was surprised that the structure dictated that I receive no money from the sale of the house.

To pay my income tax, I had no alternative but to access at double taxation money from my IRA account. My first requests for tax relief from the Marital Trust were denied by the two trustees, my son Harry and Mr. Kohlenberg, unless I agreed to submit to a detailed and highly intrusive examination of my private expenses, life style, etc., demands that were simply humiliating and which I of course rejected.

I also requested information from Mr. Kohlenberg about what had been happening with the Marital Trust. Most requests went unanswered. After repeated inquiries, now also made by new attorneys, I still have not received comprehensive information about the legally-required income that was supposed to be paid to me, or the documentation of payouts to others .

I had assumed that I could trust Mr. Kohlenberg with my personal affairs, to which, again, I was paying no attention after my first wife died. What has happened is, frankly, shocking. I never expected that at age 90, having worked all my life and ensured that my children and grandchildren were well cared for, I would have to be concerned about support for the rest of my life and about ensuring the financial security of my second wife, who gave up her successful professional career and left her family in Brazil to be with me for my last years. Nor could I have imagined that I would not even be able to obtain coherent information about what had happened to my financial situation during the years when I entrusted these matters to Mr. Kohlenberg, whom I had understood to be a reputable trust and estate lawyer.

I would never have expected that Mr. Kohlenberg, having received my total confidence in his position as my lawyer, would shift his loyalty to benefit the younger generation that had been taken care already, not even communicating to me that he was doing so, keeping me unaware that I could not rely on his services due his conflict of interests.

This situation caused me and my second wife severe distress and extreme pressure in our new life, taking away our time, energy and peace in a period of our lives in which we should be free of such concerns and conditions, particularly the unacceptable and intrusive demands that were imposed.

All of this is, needless to say, deeply distressing.

Noam Chomsky

----- Forwarded message -----

From: J <jeevacation@gmail.com>

Date: Sun, Oct 14, 2018 at 2:42 PM

Subject: Re: Noam thinks he has no evidence for this . Could you write him?

To: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

Id leave it

On Sun, Oct 14, 2018 at 5:40 PM Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I understand. But what I'm concerned with is that if there is something in the letter that isn't substantiated, the lawyer for the insurance company could use it to discredit the whole effort. Something I've often seen in other connections. Glad to rely on your judgment about that.

Noam

On Sun, Oct 14, 2018 at 2:38 PM J <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

Its never going to be used . This is for vincent to show to max's insurance co

On Sun, Oct 14, 2018 at 5:24 PM Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

It's difficult for me to see how I can write that Max shifted his loyalty to my children. It seems to me that such charges, presented without evidence, would offer an opportunity to his attorney to discredit the whole letter. Seems to me best to keep the facts that we can establish unequivocally, without adding charges that can be exploited to discredit the effort.

What do you think?

Noam

On Sun, Oct 14, 2018 at 2:19 PM Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Do you think Noam should add something like this:

I couldn't expect that Mr. Kohlenberg having received my total confidence in his position as my lawyer would shift his loyalty to benefit the younger generation that had been taken care already, not even communicating me that he was doing so, keeping me unaware that I could not rely on his services due his conflict of interests.

All this situation caused me and my second wife a severe distress and extreme pressure in our new life, taking away our time, energy and peace in a period of our lives that I should be without these kind of concerns **and without any conditions, especially the unacceptable and intrusive demands that were imposed.**

Valeria Chomsky

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by

return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and
destroy this communication and all copies thereof,
including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is
confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may
constitute inside information, and is intended only for
the use of the addressee. It is the property of
JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this
communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited
and may be unlawful. If you have received this
communication in error, please notify us immediately by
return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and
destroy this communication and all copies thereof,
including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved