

From: Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>
To: Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Subject: Fw: Re: letter to editor
Date: Mon, 04 Mar 2019 17:21:02 +0000

Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.
20 Park Plaza
Suite 1000
Boston, MA 02116
[REDACTED]

-----This Electronic Message contains information from the Law Office of Martin G. Weinberg, P.C., and may be privileged. The information is intended for the use of the addressee only. If you are not the addressee, please note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited.

--- On Mon, 3/4/19, Mermelstein, Sue <[REDACTED]> wrote:

> From: Mermelstein, Sue <[REDACTED]>
> Subject: Re: letter to editor
> To: "Martin Weinberg" <[REDACTED]>
> Date: Monday, March 4, 2019, 11:59 AM
> Thanks Martin.
> We'd rather not link to an
> unedited version of the letter, but as I wrote earlier if
> there's something you really wanted to include, we'd
> be open to a slightly longer version for the
> web.Sue
>
> On Mon, Mar 4,
> 2019 at 11:52 AM Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>
> wrote:
> Thank you. Will be
> back to you shortly with both the citation you requested and
> some proposed changes knowing we are at a word limit.
>
> Question - is there anyway for the NYT to include a link to
> the full letter in its internet version, thanks.
>
>
>
> Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.
>
> 20 Park Plaza
>
> Suite 1000
>
> Boston, MA 02116

>



> =====This Electronic Message

> contains information from the Law Office of Martin G.
> Weinberg, P.C., and may be privileged. The information is
> intended for the use of the addressee only. If you are not
> the addressee, please note that any disclosure, copying,
> distribution, or use of the contents of this message is
> prohibited.

>

>

>

> -----

>

> On Mon, 3/4/19, Mermelstein, Sue <[REDACTED]>

> wrote:

>

>

>

> Subject: Re: letter to editor

>

> To: "Martin Weinberg" <[REDACTED]>

>

> Date: Monday, March 4, 2019, 11:38 AM

>

>

>

> Here's a proposed

>

> edit, about 350 words, that we could use

>

> both in print and online. I added a sentence of
> background

>

> for those readers unfamiliar with the case:

>

> To the

>

> Editor:Re "The

>

> Cowardly Labor Secretary" (editorial, March 3):Your
> editorial's

>

> conclusions are in profound conflict with the reality as
> we,

>

> Jeffrey Epstein's current and former lawyers, knew
> it.

>

> Alexander

>

> Acosta, the labor secretary, as United States attorney
> for
>
> the Southern District of Florida in 2007, oversaw a
> plea
>
> deal for Mr. Epstein involving charges of sexual abuse
> of
>
> young girls. Your underlying premise is that Mr. Acosta
> had
>
> capitulated and not filed federal charges because Mr.
>
> Epstein had a “high-priced defense team.” This was
> categorically
>
> denied by the Southern District’s then first
> assistant,
>
> Jeffrey H. Sloman, in an Op-Ed
>
> article in The Miami Herald on Feb. 15. Mr. Sloman
>
> correctly represented that the case lacked the credible
> and
>
> compelling proof that is required by federal criminal
>
> statutes. He also correctly represented that the
> government
>
> had achieved its principal objectives — a felony
> plea,
>
> incarceration, millions of dollars in restitution and
>
> monetary settlements, and lifetime sex offender
> registration
>
> — through its agreement with Mr. Epstein. That agreement
> was
>
> reviewed at multiple levels of the Justice Department.
> An
>
> agreement rather than a trial is how over 96 percent of
>
> federal cases get resolved,
>
> through negotiations by two teams of experienced
>
> professionals. [CAN YOU PROVIDE YOUR SOURCE FOR THIS
> FIGURE,

>
> JUST FOR FACTCHECKING?]
>
> That the guilty plea
>
> was in state not federal court reflected the absence of
>
> evidence that Mr. Epstein used the internet, traveled to
> a
>
> location away from his home for the purpose of having
>
> illegal sex, commercially trafficked women to others,
>
> engaged in force, fraud or coercion, used drugs or
> alcohol
>
> to entice young women who came to his house to exchange
>
> sexual massages for money, possessed child pornography or
> in
>
> other ways violated federal law. Your claims regarding
>
> the number of young women involved in the investigation
> were
>
> vastly exaggerated, there was no “international
>
> sex-trafficking operation”
>
> and there was never evidence that Mr. Epstein “hosted
> sex
>
> parties” at
>
> his home. Finally, Mr. Epstein
>
> has gone to prison and made enormous monetary
> settlements
>
> relying on his negotiated agreement. He is entitled to
>
> finality like every other defendant. Kenneth W. Starr
>
> Martin G. Weinberg
>
> Jack
>
> Goldberger
>
> Lilly Ann Sanchez
>
> On Mon, Mar 4,

>
> 2019 at 10:48 AM Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>

> wrote:

> Thank you. I could

> circulate when received and we would have a better shot
> at

> the deadline. If there are particular facts you find
> you

> need verified, let me know, thanks. All 4 signatories
> were

> involved at different stages of the 2007-8 negotiations
> and

> appeals to the DOJ.

> Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.

> 20 Park Plaza

> Suite 1000

> Boston, MA 02116



> =====This Electronic
> Message

> contains information from the Law Office of Martin G.

> Weinberg, P.C., and may be privileged. The information
> is

>
> intended for the use of the addressee only. If you are
> not
>
> the addressee, please note that any disclosure,
> copying,
>
> distribution, or use of the contents of this message is
>
> prohibited.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----

> On Mon, 3/4/19, Mermelstein, Sue <[REDACTED]>
>
> wrote:

> Subject: Re: letter to editor

> To: "Martin Weinberg" <[REDACTED]>

> Date: Monday, March 4, 2019, 10:34 AM

> Just

> saw this. Sure, I'd be happy to do so.

>
> If you are not the addressee, please note that any

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the
> contents

> of

> this message is prohibited.

> -----

> On Mon, 3/4/19, Mermelstein, Sue <[REDACTED]>

