

**From:** Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>  
**To:** J <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
**Cc:** Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Re: CONFIDENTIAL and ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE  
**Date:** Sat, 02 Mar 2019 15:56:54 +0000

---

we drop him in middle of signatories  
he is in their editorial so his absence as a co-signatory is noticeable  
but your instinct may be right to omit  
Lilly? good to have female. I think we pick a time for roy, lilly and send to Editors. Then followup to push for publication. I have asked Ken to get us a contact. alan too. no reply to those requests.  
I have not sent to Lilly thinking you wanted to but can, let me know

Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.  
20 Park Plaza  
Suite 1000  
Boston, MA 02116  
[REDACTED] - Office  
[REDACTED] - Cell

-----This Electronic Message contains information from the Law Office of Martin G. Weinberg, P.C., and may be privileged. The information is intended for the use of the addressee only. If you are not the addressee, please note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited.

-----  
On Sat, 3/2/19, J <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

**Subject:** Re: CONFIDENTIAL and ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE  
**To:** "Martin Weinberg" <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** Saturday, March 2, 2019, 10:51 AM

the point  
was that you write that academics were accused doesnt  
alan s byline , become the focal?

On Sat, Mar 2,  
2019 at 10:44 AM Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>  
wrote:  
I don't think it  
necessary

The longer this is, the more likely for editing

Ken thinks Alan should co-sign. OK with you?

Without a name, I think we send to the Letters to the Editor  
eg by noon and then have followup to make sure its received,

published

Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.

20 Park Plaza

Suite 1000

Boston, MA 02116

[REDACTED] - Office

[REDACTED] - Cell

=====This Electronic Message  
contains information from the Law Office of Martin G.  
Weinberg, P.C., and may be privileged. The information is  
intended for the use of the addressee only. If you are not  
the addressee, please note that any disclosure, copying,  
distribution, or use of the contents of this message is  
prohibited.

-----  
On Sat, 3/2/19, J <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
wrote:

Subject: Re: CONFIDENTIAL and ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

To: "Martin Weinberg" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Saturday, March 2, 2019, 10:41 AM

do we

mention that we are also writing to sasse .?

On Sat, Mar 2,

2019 at 10:35 AM Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>

wrote:

thanks, most included in

latest draft

Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.

20 Park Plaza

Suite 1000

Boston, MA 02116

██████████ - Office

██████████ - Cell

=====This Electronic  
Message

contains information from the Law Office of Martin G.

Weinberg, P.C., and may be privileged. The information  
is

intended for the use of the addressee only. If you are  
not

the addressee, please note that any disclosure,  
copying,

distribution, or use of the contents of this message is

prohibited.

-----  
On Sat, 3/2/19, Darren Indyke <[REDACTED]>

wrote:

Subject: Re: CONFIDENTIAL and ATTORNEY CLIENT  
PRIVILEGE

To: "Martin Weinberg" <[REDACTED]>

Cc: "Darren Indyke" <[REDACTED]> ,

"Jeffrey Epstein" <jeevacation@gmail.com> ,

"Ken Starr" <[REDACTED]> ,

"[REDACTED]"

<[REDACTED]> ,

"Kathy Ruemmler" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Saturday, March 2, 2019, 10:26 AM

Quick

suggestions marked on the attached.

DARREN

K. INDYKE

5300 W.

Atlantic Avenue, Suite 602

Delray Beach, Florida 33484

Telephone: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Telecopier:

[REDACTED]

Mobile:

[REDACTED]

email: [REDACTED]

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

The information contained  
  
in this communication is confidential, may  
  
be attorney-client  
  
privileged, and is intended only for  
  
the use of the addressee. It is the property of  
  
Darren K. Indyke.

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of  
  
this communication  
  
or any part thereof is strictly  
  
prohibited and may be unlawful. If you

have received

this

communication

in error, please notify us immediately by return

e-mail,

and destroy this

communication and all copies

thereof, including all attachments.

Copyright of Darren K. Indyke - ©

2019 Darren K. Indyke – All rights reserved.

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

On

Mar 2, 2019, at 9:40 AM, Kathy Ruemmler <[REDACTED]>

wrote:

This is

great. Highly recommend that we not let perfect be  
the

enemy of the good and get this submitted ASAP while  
fresh

and NYT Ed Board should feel pressure to

publish.

On Mar 2, 2019, at 9:26

AM, J <jeevacation@gmail.com>

wrote:

well done

thx

On Sat, Mar 2,

2019 at 9:22 AM Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>

wrote:

A very quick morning

stab at a possible letter to editor.- hopefully to  
motivate

edits, discussion, revisions, I think this route  
makes

far

more sense than uninvited Op-Eds. Also consistent  
with

a

draft being edited to be sent to Sen Sasse. The NYT

Editorial is enraging in its political bias - and is  
deeply

damaging.

Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.

20 Park Plaza

Suite 1000

Boston, MA 02116

 - Office

██████████ - Cell

=====This Electronic  
Message

contains information from the Law Office of Martin G.

Weinberg, ██████, and may be privileged. The  
information

is

intended for the use of the addressee only. If you  
are

not

the addressee, please note that any disclosure,  
copying,

distribution, or use of the contents of this message  
is

prohibited.

-----  
  
On Sat, 3/2/19, J <jeevacation@gmail.com>

wrote:

Subject: time sensitive

To: "Ken Starr" <[REDACTED]> ,

"Martin Weinberg" <[REDACTED]> ,

"Alan Dershowitz" <[REDACTED]> ,

"Kathy Ruemmler" <[REDACTED]> ,

"Darren Indyke" <[REDACTED]>

Date: Saturday, March 2, 2019, 12:42 AM

now that the NYT , the paper of

record has gotten so many facts and conclusions  
wrong.

I

thought a direct response to the editorial board  
was

now

appropriate. . thoughts on more than one  
member

of

the team on the byline?. . starting with the

suggestion

that 5 professional criminal defense attorney  
somehow

colluded with alex acosta is preposterous.

it

was

reviewed by many sets of eyes at DOJ / reference

slooman

letter.?

. the attack on the decision to require  
Epstein

to

plead in the state rather than in fed court . was  
an

outcome

dictated by the fact that this was a  
quintessential

state case. A florida case of sex for  
money.

The ages

of a minority of the women actually under 18 at the

time.

and the govt contending with the fact that many of  
them

said

they had misrepresented their age to epstein and  
the

clubs

at which they worked. ? . There was never a sex

party.

Epstein does not have parties. . he had a  
science

gathering and allegations were made that one of the

women

was required to have sex with academics world

leaders.

academics including stephen hawking.. ? the  
guest

list

were used to

accuse people of sex assault. ). President

Clinton

was never ever on his island. no proof ,  
neither

was

al gore. . at

some point a newspaper , should take a step back  
and

in

its

desire to craft a story re a trump cabinet member  
and

check its facts. ? etc.

thoughts. .

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is

confidential, may be attorney-client privileged,  
may

constitute inside information, and is intended only  
for

the use of the addressee. It is the property of

JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this

communication or any part thereof is strictly

prohibited

and may be unlawful. If you have received this

communication in error, please notify us  
immediately

by

return e-mail or by e-mail to [jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com),

and

destroy this communication and all copies thereof,

including all attachments. copyright -all rights

reserved

--

please

note

The information contained in this

communication is

confidential, may be attorney-client

privileged, may

constitute inside information, and is

intended only for

the

use of the addressee. It is the property of

JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or

copying of this

communication or any part thereof is

strictly prohibited

and may be unlawful. If you have

received this

communication in error, please notify

us immediately by

return e-mail or by e-mail to [jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com),

and

destroy this

communication and all copies thereof,

including all attachments. copyright

-all rights reserved

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com), and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.

copyright -all rights reserved

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com), and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.  
copyright -all rights reserved