

**From:** Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>  
**To:** J <[REDACTED]>  
**Cc:** Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** Re: COMMON INTEREST  
**Date:** Wed, 20 Mar 2019 17:13:46 +0000

---

The threshold issue is whether we release Alan to do an answer in the par by par format. John thinks we should not authorize Alan to use the questions. The alternative is he can write a letter without the paragraphs.

Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.  
20 Park Plaza  
Suite 1000  
Boston, MA 02116  
[REDACTED] - Office  
[REDACTED] - Cell

-----This Electronic Message contains information from the Law Office of Martin G. Weinberg, [REDACTED], and may be privileged. The information is intended for the use of the addressee only. If you are not the addressee, please note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited.

-----  
On Wed, 3/20/19, J <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Subject: Re: COMMON INTEREST  
To: "Martin Weinberg" <[REDACTED]>  
Date: Wednesday, March 20, 2019, 12:56 PM

no  
, lynn forresterl . silly she hates both of us . .  
but if he prefers to say lynn told him that i was her  
financail advisor13 he never introduced me to  
anyone, steven j gould and marvin minsky  
did18 leave out19 -22 . all  
allegations nver tested under oath23, his  
video were placed there by the police and caught the  
houseman which they quote24-27 unsubstated  
allegations30. he was the only person  
talking to krisher be careful31 it comes  
from a quote re the difference between murder and  
prostituion32 he did present my space to  
krisher.  
34 35. searches  
never happend , palintiffs lawyers  
fabrications38, careful . he and roy  
worked together39 grand jury one count of  
solicioant41, it ws meant as protection  
for the jane does that brought their friends

On Wed, Mar 20,  
2019 at 5:35 PM Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>

wrote:

This is what Alan would like to send subject to getting OK to say he recvd questions from us. He can certainly rewrite without the Q by Q response if I tell him he cannot disclose the receipt of the list. If there are portions (like naming all the names) that we want out, it should be communicated. We had every right to ask him about certain paragraphs. I have sent this only to you for review.

Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.

20 Park Plaza

Suite 1000

Boston, MA 02116

██████████ - Office

██████████ - Cell

=====This Electronic Message  
contains information from the Law Office of Martin G. Weinberg, ██████, and may be privileged. The information is intended for the use of the addressee only. If you are not the addressee, please note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited.

--- On Wed, 3/20/19, <██████████>  
wrote:

> From: <██████████>  
> Subject: AD Response to Bruck JE Weinberg questions.docx  
> To: "Martin G Weinberg" <██████████>  
> Date: Wednesday, March 20, 2019, 12:05 PM  
> Confidential

> This is a draft of what I intended to  
> send Bruck. Please read it and we can discuss.  
>  
>  
> Sent from my iPhone

--  
    please note  
The information contained in this  
communication is  
confidential, may be  
attorney-client privileged, may  
constitute  
inside information, and is intended only for  
the use of the addressee. It is the property  
of  
JEE  
Unauthorized use,  
disclosure or copying of this  
communication  
or any part thereof is strictly prohibited  
and may be unlawful. If you have received  
this  
communication in error, please notify  
us immediately by  
return e-mail or by e-mail  
to [REDACTED],  
and  
destroy this communication and all  
copies thereof,  
including all attachments.  
copyright -all rights reserved