

From: J <jeevacation@gmail.com>
To: "Martin G. Weinberg" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: ATTORNEY CLIENT
Date: Wed, 20 Mar 2019 12:57:11 +0000

20 is the accurate

On Wed, Mar 20, 2019 at 1:55 PM Martin G. Weinberg <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Agreed. No statements. Lets pick several provably erroneous facts to make them welcome the fact checking.

Are there any real estate/tax docs that reflect a price other than \$20M for home. More important, do you want that fact out there?

I like the video - police - stop theft not video sex

Do you want John to interface with fact checker?

Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.

[20 Park Plaza](#)

[Suite 1000](#)

[Boston, MA 02116](#)

[REDACTED] - Office

[REDACTED] - Cell

=====
This Electronic Message contains information from the Law Office of Martin G. Weinberg, P.C., and may be privileged. The information is intended for the use of the addressee only. If you are not the addressee, please note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited.

From: J <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2019 6:17 AM
To: Martin G. Weinberg <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: ATTORNEY CLIENT

i think we should go off the record if possible with the writer. .
!

On Wed, Mar 20, 2019 at 12:40 AM Martin G. Weinberg <[REDACTED]> wrote:

945 works if it works for JE. Flexible between 9 and 1030

John, We must make sure not to walk JE into a defamation case if he answers the [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] allegations or contempt for violating a confidential settlement agreement. Makes a full response hard. Leaving to you how to best answer mistakes without reinforcing what we don't dispute.

Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.

[20 Park Plaza](#)

[Suite 1000](#)

[Boston, MA 02116](#)

[REDACTED] - Office

[REDACTED] - Cell

=====
This Electronic Message contains information from the Law Office of Martin G. Weinberg, P.C., and may be privileged. The information is intended for the use of the addressee only. If you are not the addressee, please note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited.

From: John Pinette <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Tuesday, March 19, 2019 7:32 PM

To: Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Darren Indyke <[REDACTED]>

Subject: What do you think of scheduling a call tomorrow AM to walk through the New Yorker fact-checking

with Jeffrey. He's happy to join.

I'm happy to do it any time after 9:00 AM Eastern time. We obviously don't have to answer any questions we don't wish to address.

It's a coup that you got them to send the questions via email. Congrats.

JP

--

JOHN PINETTE

TEL: [REDACTED]



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.
www.avast.com

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved