

From: John Pinette <[REDACTED]>
To: J <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE
Date: Tue, 19 Mar 2019 23:27:33 +0000

We don't have to respond to any of them. And can select which facts we confirm. The restrictions of the NPA is probably a good thing to remind the writer about. At this point, it's in editing, but that doesn't mean it can't be amended. We should include it in the answers, with a note that the presumptions are pervasive -- without getting into cases.

I was thinking tomorrow AM (Eastern time) if we wanted to do a call when it's not so late for you.

On Tue, Mar 19, 2019 at 4:25 PM J <[REDACTED]> wrote:
im in paris . do we respond to all , do we tel them that these are one sided allegagtions and under my npa i was not allowed to challenge them ?

On Wed, Mar 20, 2019 at 12:21 AM John Pinette <[REDACTED]> wrote:
Remarkable they sent it -- what are you thoughts on how to work through it? Phone call?

On Tue, Mar 19, 2019 at 4:16 PM J <[REDACTED]> wrote:

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Martin G. Weinberg <[REDACTED]>
Date: Tue, Mar 19, 2019 at 9:54 PM
Subject: ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE
To: J <[REDACTED]>

Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.

20 Park Plaza

Suite 1000

Boston, MA 02116

[REDACTED] - Office

[REDACTED] - Cell

=====
This Electronic Message contains information from the Law Office of Martin G. Weinberg, [REDACTED], and may be privileged. The information is intended for the use of the addressee only. If you are not the addressee, please note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited.

From: Helfand, Zach <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, March 19, 2019 3:55 PM
To: Martin G. Weinberg <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: New Yorker magazine fact checking

Hi Marty,

Attached are the queries related to Mr. Epstein that we would like to check with him.

Thanks,

Zach Helfand

On Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 7:44 PM Martin G. Weinberg <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Zach, I am perfectly willing to assist The New Yorker in checking their "facts" before publishing potentially erroneous information about Mr Epstein, but only when the information you want reviewed is presented in writing. I do not understand why that would not improve the verification process, eliminating multiple calls, and provide more precision. So I understand your preference, but please respect mine. The goal is objectivity and accuracy which a written exchange will promote. Thanks, Marty Weinberg

Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.

20 Park Plaza

Suite 1000

Boston, MA 02116

[REDACTED] - Office

[REDACTED] - Cell

=====
This Electronic Message contains information from the Law Office of Martin G. Weinberg, [REDACTED], and may be privileged. The information is intended for the use of the addressee only. If you are not the addressee, please note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited.

From: Helfand, Zach <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, March 18, 2019 6:57 PM

To: Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: New Yorker magazine fact checking

Thanks, Marty. Our policy is to conduct fact-checking over the phone, whenever possible. Would you be available for a phone call?

On Mon, Mar 18, 2019 at 5:20 PM Martin Weinberg <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Zach, how about emailing the details regarding Mr Epstein that you want me to review, thanks, Marty Weinberg

Martin G. Weinberg, Esq.
20 Park Plaza
Suite 1000
Boston, MA 02116
[REDACTED] - Office
[REDACTED] - Cell

-----This Electronic Message contains information from the Law Office of Martin G. Weinberg, [REDACTED], and may be privileged. The information is intended for the use of the addressee only. If you are not the addressee, please note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited.

On Mon, 3/18/19, Helfand, Zach <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Subject: New Yorker magazine fact checking
To: [REDACTED]
Date: Monday, March 18, 2019, 5:03 PM

Dear Mr.
Weinberg:
My name is Zach Helfand. I work in the fact-checking department at The New Yorker magazine. I'm checking a piece by Connie Bruck on Alan Dershowitz, in which your client Jeffrey Epstein is mentioned at some length. I'd like the opportunity to verify the details in the piece with Mr. Epstein. Would it be possible to arrange a fact-checking call?
Thanks,
Zach Helfand
The New Yorker [REDACTED]



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.



--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [REDACTED], and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

JOHN PINETTE
TEL: [REDACTED]

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [REDACTED], and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

JOHN PINETTE
TEL: [REDACTED]