

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE  
FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN  
AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA  
CASE NO. 502009CA040800XXXXMBAG

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,  
Plaintiff,  
-vs-

SCOTT ROTHSTEIN, individually,  
and BRADLEY J. EDWARDS,  
individually,

Defendants.

HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE  
JUDGE DAVID CROW

Monday, February 4, 2013  
9:01 a.m. - 9:18 a.m.  
Palm Beach County Courthouse, Courtroom 9C  
205 North Dixie Highway  
Palm Beach County, Florida

Stenographically Reported By:  
Sara Storey, FPR  
Florida Professional Reporter

PROCEEDINGS

---

MS. COLEMAN: Excuse me one moment. I'm sorry. The first page of that notebook I need to take out. Can I just grab my -- they're my notes.

THE COURT: I can't read your notes?

MS. COLEMAN: Well, I wouldn't want to give you my notes without giving a copy to Mr. Scarola, because that would be inappropriate.

Good morning, Judge. Tonja Haddad --

THE COURT: Let me just stop you and ask you, is this something I can do on an 8:45, it seems like a lot?

MS. COLEMAN: No, Your Honor, it's not.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. COLEMAN: It is my motion on behalf of Mr. Epstein. And since the date it was filed I have sent repeated requests to Mr. Scarola's office asking for a specially set date upon which he was available to argue our motion.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. COLEMAN: Mr. Scarola refused to provide us dates and instead unilaterally set it before this court today. What was originally set before this court today was an issue related to our

(1)  
(2)  
(3)  
(4)  
(5)  
(6)  
(7)  
(8)  
(9)  
(10)  
(11)  
(12)  
(13)  
(14)  
(15)  
(16)  
(17)  
(18)  
(19)  
(20)  
(21)  
(22)  
(23)  
(24)  
(25)

2

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the Plaintiff:  
Tonja Haddad, P.A.  
315 SE 7th Street  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301  
954.467.1223

BY: TONJA HADDAD, ESQUIRE

On behalf of the Defendants:  
Searcy, Denney, Scarola, et al.  
2139 Palm Beach Lakes Boulevard  
West Palm Beach, FL 33409

BY: JACK SCAROLA, ESQUIRE

(1)  
(2)  
(3)  
(4)  
(5)  
(6)  
(7)  
(8)  
(9)  
(10)  
(11)  
(12)  
(13)  
(14)  
(15)  
(16)  
(17)  
(18)  
(19)  
(20)  
(21)  
(22)  
(23)  
(24)  
(25)

4

discovery which I think would be more germane to the issues since you denied our protective order last Thursday. There is no way this can be heard in five minutes without belaboring the point. And we would respectfully request that since Mr. Scarola's office is not cooperating that you would provide a specially-set date upon which we can argue our Motion to Dismiss in a corporated memorandum of law.

MR. SCAROLA: May I have my five minutes to convince you otherwise?

THE COURT: Let me just say, I generally don't give dates for counsel. I don't get involved in scheduling. What I generally do is say you schedule it within a certain period of time, if you don't I'll schedule it. But Yes, sir.

MR. SCAROLA: Thank you. May I approach the bench?

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. SCAROLA: Thank you.

Your Honor, this is our fourth amended complaint. And the fourth amended complaint makes two changes from the third amended complaint which was the subject of multiple challenges all of which were denied. Those two changes appear in the

(1)  
(2)  
(3)  
(4)  
(5)  
(6)  
(7)  
(8)  
(9)  
(10)  
(11)  
(12)  
(13)  
(14)  
(15)  
(16)  
(17)  
(18)  
(19)  
(20)  
(21)  
(22)  
(23)  
(24)  
(25)

<p style="text-align: right;">5</p> <p>(1) wherefore clause as to count one, and the wherefore  (2) clause as to count two. Both of the changes are  (3) identical. The prior complaint said that we  (4) reserved leave to assert a claim for punitive  (5) damages upon satisfying the statutory  (6) prerequisites. The amendment says  (7) counter-plaintiff Edwards, having satisfied the  (8) statutory prerequisites for the assertion of a  (9) claim for punitive damages and having been granted  (10) leave of court to assert such a claim does hereby  (11) also assert a claim for punitive damages. The  (12) factual allegations of this complaint remain  (13) absolutely unchanged from the repeatedly,  (14) unsuccessfully challenged third amended complaint.  (15) The Motion to Dismiss before Your Honor is  (16) nothing more than a motion for a reconsideration of  (17) Your Honor's having granted the leave to assert a  (18) claim for punitive damages. And the concept that  (19) it is necessary after having satisfied the  (20) statutory prerequisites to again argue all of the  (21) same legal issues that are being asserted once more  (22) that have previously been denied and to wait for a  (23) special set hearing in order to do that, I suggest  (24) to Your Honor, is simply a transparent attempt at  (25) further delaying getting this 2009 case set for</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">7</p> <p>(1) hearing?  (2) THE COURT: Well, I'll tell you what I'm going  (3) to do, I'm going to look at it, so if you want to,  (4) you know --  (5) MS. COLEMAN: Then I will --  (6) THE COURT: Go ahead.  (7) MS. COLEMAN: Go ahead. I'm sorry.  (8) THE COURT: We got more than five minutes.  (9) MS. COLEMAN: My position is as follows,  (10) respectfully Mr. Scarola's opinion is just that.  (11) His opinion of course of his complaint is that it's  (12) fine, there is nothing wrong. Our position is in  (13) drast contravention to Mr. Scarola's opinion. The  (14) law, in our opinion, and as proved by our  (15) voluminous notebook with which you've been  (16) provided -- and for the record, we've provided over  (17) two hundred pages of documentation to Judge Crow in  (18) support of our Motion to Dismiss. The legal  (19) standard for pleading punitive damages under rule  (20) 1 -- I'm sorry. I completely lost the paper that I  (21) was looking at. But the rule to plead special  (22) damages. I believe it's 1.210 or 1.200. It's in  (23) our motion -- requires heightened pleadings. So  (24) by -- just as an example to give Judge Crow, by his  (25) own admission Mr. Scarola has virtually changed</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">6</p> <p>(1) trial. That's the reason why I have been insistent  (2) upon dealing with this matter at an 8:45 hearing,  (3) because I firmly believe that when Your Honor takes  (4) a look at this complaint you will find that that is  (5) the only change and it does not warrant a special  (6) set hearing after Your Honor has already granted  (7) leave to amend to assert a claim for punitive  (8) damages.  (9) Just so that it is clear what has happened  (10) here, I have highlighted on both the copy I  (11) provided to Your Honor and the copy for opposing  (12) counsel each of the factual allegations that were  (13) supported by record evidence that allowed Your  (14) Honor to grant leave to assert the claim for  (15) punitive damages. No new factual allegations have  (16) been added to those that formed the basis to assert  (17) the claim for punitive damages to begin with. So  (18) that's the reason why I have asked Your Honor to  (19) deal with this at an 8:45, so that we can finally  (20) get a trial date in this 2009 case.  (21) Thank you, sir.  (22) THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.  (23) MS. COLEMAN: Your Honor, before I respond to  (24) that, respectfully I'm asking am I going to be  (25) compelled to argue my motion in this five minute</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">8</p> <p>(1) nothing in his complaint. That assertion by its  (2) very nature clearly goes to the fact that the  (3) complaint has not properly been amended to add a  (4) claim in punitive damages.  (5) And I apologize to the court, the special  (6) pleading requirement statute is 1.120(G) and 768.72  (7) of the Florida statutes, require different  (8) pleading.  (9) THE COURT: So let me stop you and make sure I  (10) understand you. What you're saying is that even  (11) though I may have given permission based upon the  (12) proffer made at the time of the hearing to plead  (13) punitive damages you still have to plead sufficient  (14) ultimate facts to establish punitive damage  (15) liability --  (16) MR. SCAROLA: Yes, Your Honor, and the case  (17) law clearly supports that and based upon my  (18) communications with Mr. Scarola I realized that  (19) this was going to be a problem that could not be  (20) addressed in five minutes. We were going to need  (21) to convince you otherwise, which is again why I'm  (22) requesting that you allow us more time to argue  (23) this, because it's a very important issue,  (24) obviously.  (25) THE COURT: How much time do you need to</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">9</p> <p>(1) argue?</p> <p>(2) MS. COLEMAN: I need at least 20 minutes to</p> <p>(3) argue my point, Judge.</p> <p>(4) THE COURT: I don't give 40 minute hearings.</p> <p>(5) I only have 30 minute hearings.</p> <p>(6) MS. COLEMAN: 15, I can do it in 15.</p> <p>(7) THE COURT: Go ahead.</p> <p>(8) MS. COLEMAN: You want me to argue it right</p> <p>(9) now?</p> <p>(10) THE COURT: I have 15 minutes.</p> <p>(11) MS. COLEMAN: I don't have all the case law</p> <p>(12) with me right now. We were set on a five minute</p> <p>(13) hearing. I was coming in here to request that you</p> <p>(14) give us a specially set hearing, Judge. If you're</p> <p>(15) going to compel me to go forward at this exact</p> <p>(16) moment --</p> <p>(17) THE COURT: Is the hearing about the stuff</p> <p>(18) I've got in front of me? You know, I'm pretty</p> <p>(19) familiar with the case law in which you've cited</p> <p>(20) here. These are all standard cases. These are not</p> <p>(21) unique. And so what I really want to know is what</p> <p>(22) the factual predicate is for your motion. The case</p> <p>(23) law is very clear. I understand the case law. I</p> <p>(24) don't think you got any new case law in here, do</p> <p>(25) you?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">11</p> <p>(1) THE COURT: Is it something in addition to</p> <p>(2) what's in your memorandum that you want to tell me?</p> <p>(3) MS. COLEMAN: With the case law? No, Judge, I</p> <p>(4) would like to be forwarded the opportunity to</p> <p>(5) properly prepare and argue my point. I've been in</p> <p>(6) your courtroom many, many times as you know --</p> <p>(7) THE COURT: I'm just asking a simple question.</p> <p>(8) Is there something more than what you've outlined</p> <p>(9) very articulately in the memorandum of motion that</p> <p>(10) you need to argue or can I read it and understand</p> <p>(11) it?</p> <p>(12) MS. COLEMAN: I'm confident you can read it</p> <p>(13) and understand it, Judge, but respectfully, the</p> <p>(14) last couple of times we've been in here and we have</p> <p>(15) not been permitted to outlay our argument, for</p> <p>(16) example, the Motion for Protective Order, which we</p> <p>(17) tried to get specially set for 15 minutes and</p> <p>(18) Mr. Scarola would not agree, we get ruled against.</p> <p>(19) I'm trying to do my job here. I'm trying to</p> <p>(20) adequately present my client's position. I feel we</p> <p>(21) have a very strong Motion to Dismiss. I'm asking</p> <p>(22) this court to allow us the 15 minutes within which</p> <p>(23) to argue it and to not permit -- I don't know -- I</p> <p>(24) don't have an --</p> <p>(25) THE COURT: When will you be ready to argue?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">10</p> <p>(1) MS. COLEMAN: Any new case law from what,</p> <p>(2) Judge? I don't know what's been submitted to you</p> <p>(3) in the past.</p> <p>(4) THE COURT: In support of your motion.</p> <p>(5) MS. COLEMAN: In support of my motion, no, I</p> <p>(6) filed it on the 18th.</p> <p>(7) THE COURT: No, I mean, any recent cases that</p> <p>(8) have changed the law in any manner. There is</p> <p>(9) nothing new in the case law. What you're really</p> <p>(10) doing is the case law as it applies to the</p> <p>(11) allegations of this complaint presumably is what</p> <p>(12) you're saying.</p> <p>(13) MS. COLEMAN: Yes, I am, Judge.</p> <p>(14) THE COURT: Okay. So tell me what's wrong</p> <p>(15) with this complaint.</p> <p>(16) MS. COLEMAN: I'm just --</p> <p>(17) Are you making us do this argument now?</p> <p>(18) THE COURT: I'm not making you do anything</p> <p>(19) now. I'm sitting here, I got the thing in front</p> <p>(20) me. I said maybe we can argue it now. You're</p> <p>(21) telling me you're not prepared to argue the motion?</p> <p>(22) Is that what you're saying?</p> <p>(23) MS. COLEMAN: I was prepared for a five minute</p> <p>(24) hearing and I'm telling you, yes, I would prefer</p> <p>(25) to --</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">12</p> <p>(1) MS. COLEMAN: You can -- we have a UMC set for</p> <p>(2) Wednesday morning. If you'd rather set this for</p> <p>(3) Wednesday I'd be fine with that.</p> <p>(4) THE COURT: I have got time today, how about</p> <p>(5) that? I can get my calendar. Will you be prepared</p> <p>(6) to argue it today?</p> <p>(7) MS. COLEMAN: Judge, my office is in Broward</p> <p>(8) and I have depositions set today. I don't know</p> <p>(9) that I'll be able to do it today, but I'd be happy</p> <p>(10) to do it Wednesday.</p> <p>(11) THE COURT: Let's get you scheduled here.</p> <p>(12) Okay.</p> <p>(13) MS. COLEMAN: Thank you very much.</p> <p>(14) THE COURT: Do you have the ability to look</p> <p>(15) and see what your schedule is like right now?</p> <p>(16) MR. SCAROLA: I have my phone here.</p> <p>(17) THE COURT: Are you prepared to do that?</p> <p>(18) MS. COLEMAN: Yes, sir, I have my calendar</p> <p>(19) here on my phone.</p> <p>(20) THE COURT: Go ahead and get your calendars.</p> <p>(21) Let's get your schedules here. You need 30</p> <p>(22) minutes. I'm going to go ahead and get it set.</p> <p>(23) This is one of the older cases on my -- I know</p> <p>(24) it had some procedural hiccups, but I want to try</p> <p>(25) and get it to the point where we can --</p>



<p style="text-align: right;">17</p> <p>(1) if I have to. I haven't had an opportunity to</p> <p>(2) review it yet, much less send it to my client or my</p> <p>(3) co-counsel --</p> <p>(4) THE COURT: Well, what it is is a bullet point</p> <p>(5) of exactly what I said.</p> <p>(6) MS. COLEMAN: I understand what it is, Your</p> <p>(7) Honor, but --</p> <p>(8) THE COURT: Well, is there something you want</p> <p>(9) more than in my order?</p> <p>(10) MS. COLEMAN: I haven't read it. Yes, Judge,</p> <p>(11) there -- no, I would like to have it say what you</p> <p>(12) say in your order, but there may be --</p> <p>(13) This is our protective order, Your Honor.</p> <p>(14) This is to protect Mr. Epstein, my client. While I</p> <p>(15) appreciate Mr. Scarola's constant opinions of the</p> <p>(16) law and what should be submitted, it's my client.</p> <p>(17) I should at least at a minimum have a right to</p> <p>(18) review it and edit it if I believe it's necessary.</p> <p>(19) I'm not adding -- I don't anticipate adding</p> <p>(20) anything that the court hasn't delineated in its</p> <p>(21) order, but I think it's incumbent upon me as</p> <p>(22) counsel of record for Mr. Epstein to at a minimum</p> <p>(23) be able to review a confidentiality order that's</p> <p>(24) going to order by Mr. Scarola's own constant words,</p> <p>(25) a billionaire, to disclose all of his financial</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">19</p> <p>(1) MR. SCAROLA: Thanks.</p> <p>(2) MS. COLEMAN: An order regarding the discovery</p> <p>(3) due date or --</p> <p>(4) THE COURT: Yeah. And whether or not I'm</p> <p>(5) going to require a confidentiality order and, I</p> <p>(6) mean -- basically -- I mean, I'm looking at my</p> <p>(7) order and what I -- I couldn't tell you what I was</p> <p>(8) thinking, but I spelled out everything that I</p> <p>(9) thought was necessary and only if you thought</p> <p>(10) something more then I was going to allow you to do</p> <p>(11) something different, but -- or less.</p> <p>(12) MS. COLEMAN: Respectfully, Judge, in my</p> <p>(13) granted less limited experience than Mr. Scarola in</p> <p>(14) the legal arena, if an issue arises with this</p> <p>(15) confidentiality order we were trying to place the</p> <p>(16) burden not on you purportedly drafted the</p> <p>(17) confidentiality order by nature of your order, but</p> <p>(18) rather having an agreed to confidentiality order</p> <p>(19) between the parties that you would merely sign</p> <p>(20) rather than using your court order and asking you</p> <p>(21) to rule on exactly what you just said, what you</p> <p>(22) meant at the time you drafted it.</p> <p>(23) THE COURT: Okay. I'm not sure what I'm going</p> <p>(24) to do, but I'll get you an order out here today.</p> <p>(25) Okay.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">18</p> <p>(1) information absent, you know, something being in</p> <p>(2) place that we need to review. And especially given</p> <p>(3) the situation of what's going on in other</p> <p>(4) litigations between these parties.</p> <p>(5) THE COURT: Well, I guess what I'm asking</p> <p>(6) is -- I mean, I outlined everything that I thought</p> <p>(7) was necessary in my order. And what I'm asking --</p> <p>(8) certainly you've read my order.</p> <p>(9) MS. COLEMAN: I've read your order, Judge. I</p> <p>(10) have not read Mr. Scarola's proposed</p> <p>(11) confidentiality order.</p> <p>(12) THE COURT: I'm not asking about the</p> <p>(13) confidentiality order. I'm going to ask you about</p> <p>(14) my order. What in addition to what I put in the</p> <p>(15) order would you want?</p> <p>(16) MS. COLEMAN: Judge, I read your order</p> <p>(17) Thursday afternoon. I don't remember. I wasn't</p> <p>(18) prepared to discuss the confidentiality order this</p> <p>(19) morning. I wasn't told it was going to be heard.</p> <p>(20) I wasn't told that Mr. Scarola was going to present</p> <p>(21) you with a copy of what he had drafted.</p> <p>(22) THE COURT: Okay. I'll get you an order out.</p> <p>(23) Okay.</p> <p>(24) MR. SCAROLA: Thank you very much, Your Honor.</p> <p>(25) THE COURT: Have a great day.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">20</p> <p>(1) MS. COLEMAN: Thank you, Judge.</p> <p>(2) MR. SCAROLA: And I would respond to that if I</p> <p>(3) had any idea at all what she just said. So I have</p> <p>(4) no response.</p> <p>(5) THE COURT: Excuse me?</p> <p>(6) MR. SCAROLA: I said I would respond to that</p> <p>(7) if I had any idea at all what it is she just said,</p> <p>(8) but I don't.</p> <p>(9) THE COURT: Thank you.</p> <p>(10) MR. SCAROLA: Thank you, Your Honor.</p> <p>(11) THE COURT: Have a great day.</p> <p>(12) MR. SCAROLA: And you too, sir.</p> <p>(13) (Proceedings concluded at 9:18 [REDACTED].)</p>

(1)  
(2)  
(3)  
(4)  
(5)  
(6)  
(7)  
(8)  
(9)  
(10)  
(11)  
(12)  
(13)  
(14)  
(15)  
(16)  
(17)  
(18)  
(19)  
(20)  
(21)  
(22)  
(23)  
(24)  
(25)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

---

I, Sara Storey, Florida Professional Reporter, State of Florida at Large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript, page 1 through 20, is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.

Dated this 4th day of February 2013 in Palm Beach County, Florida.

*Sara Storey*  
Sara Storey,  
Florida Professional Reporter



<b>A</b>			
<b>ability</b> 12:14	<b>asserted</b> 5:21	<b>clause</b> 5:1, 2	10:18 11:1, 7, 22
<b>able</b> 12:9 17:23	<b>assertion</b> 5:8 8:1	<b>clear</b> 6:9 9:23	11:25 12:4, 11, 14
<b>absent</b> 18:1	<b>attempt</b> 5:24 16:14	<b>clearly</b> 8:2, 17	12:17, 20 13:10
<b>absolutely</b> 5:13	<b>authorized</b> 21:6	<b>client</b> 17:2, 14, 16	13:12, 16, 19 14:2
<b>add</b> 8:3	<b>available</b> 3:20	<b>client's</b> 11:20	14:7, 23 15:2, 6
<b>added</b> 6:16	<b>a.m</b> 1:16, 16 20:13	<b>COLEMAN</b> 3:3, 7, 14	16:2, 5 17:4, 8, 20
<b>adding</b> 17:19, 19		3:16, 22 6:23 7:5	18:5, 12, 22, 25
<b>addition</b> 11:1	<b>B</b>	7:7, 9 9:2, 6, 8, 11	19:4, 20, 23 20:5
18:14	<b>back</b> 16:25	10:1, 5, 13, 16, 23	20:9, 11
<b>address</b> 13:22, 24	<b>based</b> 8:11, 17	11:3, 12 12:1, 7	<b>Courthouse</b> 1:17
<b>addressed</b> 8:20	<b>basically</b> 14:7	12:13, 18 13:4, 11	<b>courtroom</b> 1:17
<b>adequately</b> 11:20	19:6	13:14, 17, 21 14:3	11:6
<b>admission</b> 7:25	<b>basis</b> 6:16	14:9, 25 16:23	<b>co-counsels</b> 17:3
<b>afternoon</b> 18:17	<b>Beach</b> 1:2, 17, 18	17:6, 10 18:9, 16	<b>Crow</b> 1:14 7:17, 24
<b>agree</b> 11:18	2:8, 8 21:12	19:2, 12 20:1	
<b>agreed</b> 13:23 14:18	<b>behalf</b> 2:2, 7 3:16	<b>coming</b> 9:13	<b>D</b>
16:11 19:18	<b>belaboring</b> 4:4	<b>communications</b>	<b>D</b> 3:1
<b>ahead</b> 7:6, 7 9:7	<b>believe</b> 6:3 7:22	8:18	<b>damage</b> 8:14
12:20, 22	14:4 15:16 16:17	<b>compel</b> 9:15	<b>damages</b> 5:5, 9, 11
<b>al</b> 2:7	17:18	<b>compelled</b> 6:25	5:18 6:8, 15, 17
<b>allegations</b> 5:12	<b>believed</b> 16:7	<b>complaint</b> 4:22, 22	7:19, 22 8:4, 13
6:12, 15 10:11	<b>bench</b> 4:18	4:23 5:3, 12, 14	15:5
<b>allow</b> 8:22 11:22	<b>better</b> 13:19	6:4 7:11 8:1, 3	<b>date</b> 3:17, 19 4:7
19:10	<b>beyond</b> 15:22	10:11, 15	6:20 14:16, 18, 21
<b>allowed</b> 6:13	<b>billionaire</b> 17:25	<b>complete</b> 21:8	19:3
<b>amend</b> 6:7	<b>Boulevard</b> 2:8	<b>completely</b> 7:20	<b>Dated</b> 21:11
<b>amended</b> 4:21, 22, 23	<b>BRADLEY</b> 1:8	<b>concept</b> 5:18	<b>dates</b> 3:23 4:13
5:14 8:3	<b>bring</b> 14:5	<b>concluded</b> 20:13	<b>DAVID</b> 1:14
<b>amendment</b> 5:6	<b>Broward</b> 12:7	<b>confident</b> 11:12	<b>day</b> 13:6 18:25
<b>ancillary</b> 15:25	<b>bullet</b> 17:4	<b>confidentiality</b>	20:11 21:11
<b>anticipate</b> 17:19	<b>burden</b> 19:16	14:12 16:7, 8, 12	<b>days</b> 14:18 15:8, 11
<b>anticipated</b> 15:10		16:19, 21 17:23	15:13, 15, 21
<b>apologize</b> 8:5	<b>C</b>	18:11, 13, 18 19:5	<b>deal</b> 6:19
<b>appear</b> 4:25	<b>C</b> 3:1	19:15, 17, 18	<b>dealing</b> 6:2
<b>APPEARANCES</b> 2:1	<b>calendar</b> 12:5, 18	<b>constant</b> 17:15, 24	<b>Defendants</b> 1:9 2:7
<b>applies</b> 10:10	<b>calendars</b> 12:20	<b>contemplated</b> 16:20	<b>delay</b> 16:14
<b>appreciate</b> 17:15	<b>case</b> 1:2 5:25 6:20	<b>contravention</b> 7:13	<b>delaying</b> 5:25
<b>approach</b> 4:17 16:1	8:16 9:11, 19, 22	<b>convince</b> 4:11 8:21	<b>delineated</b> 14:12
<b>appropriate</b> 16:7	9:23, 24 10:1, 9	<b>cooperating</b> 4:6	17:20
16:22	10:10 11:3 14:13	<b>copy</b> 3:8 6:10, 11	<b>denied</b> 4:2, 25 5:22
<b>arena</b> 19:14	<b>cases</b> 9:20 10:7	16:3 18:21	13:25 14:11
<b>argue</b> 3:20 4:8	12:23	<b>corporated</b> 4:8	15:21
5:20 6:25 8:22	<b>certain</b> 4:15	<b>counsel</b> 4:13 6:12	<b>Denney</b> 2:7
9:1, 3, 8 10:20, 21	<b>certainly</b> 18:8	15:12 16:11	<b>denying</b> 15:14
11:5, 10, 23, 25	<b>CERTIFICATE</b> 21:1	17:22	<b>depositions</b> 12:8
12:6	<b>certify</b> 21:5	<b>count</b> 5:1, 2	<b>different</b> 8:7
<b>argument</b> 10:17	<b>challenged</b> 5:14	<b>counter-plaintiff</b>	14:14 19:11
11:15	<b>challenges</b> 4:24	5:7	<b>disagreed</b> 14:20
<b>arises</b> 19:14	<b>change</b> 6:5	<b>County</b> 1:2, 17, 18	<b>disclose</b> 17:25
<b>articulately</b> 11:9	<b>changed</b> 7:25 10:8	21:12	<b>discovery</b> 4:1
<b>asked</b> 6:18	<b>changes</b> 4:23, 25	<b>couple</b> 11:14	14:17, 22 15:4, 16
<b>asking</b> 3:19 6:24	5:2	<b>course</b> 7:11	15:20, 23 16:14
11:7, 21 18:5, 7	<b>CIRCUIT</b> 1:1, 1	<b>court</b> 1:1 3:6, 11	19:2
18:12 19:20	<b>circumstances</b> 15:4	3:15, 21, 24, 25	<b>discuss</b> 18:18
<b>assert</b> 5:4, 10, 11	<b>cited</b> 9:19	4:12, 19 5:10	<b>Dismiss</b> 4:8 5:15
5:17 6:7, 14, 16	<b>claim</b> 5:4, 9, 10, 11	6:22 7:2, 6, 8 8:5	7:18 11:21
	5:18 6:7, 14, 17	8:9, 25 9:4, 7, 10	<b>Dixie</b> 1:17
	8:4	9:17 10:4, 7, 14	<b>docket</b> 14:3



<p>notebook 3:4 7:15  notes 3:5,6,8 21:9  notice 13:12  NO. 502009CA040...  1:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O</b></p> <hr/> <p>O 3:1  obligation 15:19  obviously 8:24  offered 14:19  office 3:18 4:6  12:7  Okay 3:15 10:14  12:12 13:13 15:2  16:5 18:22,23  19:23,25  older 12:23  once 5:21  opinion 7:10,11,13  7:14  opinions 17:15  opportunity 11:4  17:1  opposing 6:11  15:12 16:10  order 4:2 5:23  11:16 13:25  14:11,13,16,19  15:12,13,14,18  16:3,8,9,10,12  16:18,19,20,22  17:9,12,13,21,23  17:24 18:7,8,9  18:11,13,14,15  18:16,18,22 19:2  19:5,7,15,17,17  19:18,20,24  originally 3:24  outlay 11:15  outlined 11:8 16:6  18:6  outlines 16:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>P</b></p> <hr/> <p>P 3:1  page 3:4 21:7  pages 7:17  Palm 1:2,17,18 2:8  2:8 21:11  paper 7:20  parties 18:4 19:19  pecuniary 15:4  period 4:15  permission 8:11  permit 11:23  permitted 11:15  14:5</p>	<p>phone 12:16,19  place 18:2 19:15  Plaintiff 1:5 2:2  plane 13:8  plead 7:21 8:12,13  pleading 7:19 8:6  8:8  pleadings 7:23  please 14:21  point 4:4 9:3 11:5  12:25 17:4  position 7:9,12  11:20  predicate 9:22  prefer 10:24 13:24  prepare 11:5 15:19  prepared 10:21,23  12:5,17 18:18  prerequisites 5:6  5:8,20  present 11:20  18:20  presumably 10:11  pretty 9:18  previously 5:22  prior 5:3  problem 8:19 13:7  15:10  procedural 12:24  proceedings 20:13  21:7  Professional 1:22  21:4,20  proffer 8:12  properly 8:3 11:5  proposed 16:8  18:10  protect 17:14  protective 4:2  11:16 13:25  14:11 15:14,18  17:13  prove 16:25  proved 7:14  provide 3:22 4:7  14:15  provided 6:11 7:16  7:16  provisions 16:19  punitive 5:4,9,11  5:18 6:7,15,17  7:19 8:4,13,14  15:5  purportedly 19:16  put 18:14  P.A 2:3</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Q</b></p> <hr/>	<p>question 11:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>R</b></p> <hr/> <p>R 3:1  read 3:6 11:10,12  16:23 17:10 18:8  18:9,10,16  ready 11:25 15:19  realized 8:18  really 9:21 10:9  reason 6:1,18  reasonable 14:20  reconsideration  5:16  record 6:13 7:16  17:22 21:8  refused 3:22  regarding 19:2  related 3:25  relating 15:4  relieve 15:18  remain 5:12  remember 14:23  18:17  repeated 3:18  repeatedly 5:13  report 21:6  Reported 1:21  Reporter 1:22 21:1  21:5,20  request 4:5 9:13  15:21,24  requested 15:11  requesting 8:22  requests 3:18  14:24  require 8:7 19:5  requirement 8:6  requires 7:23  reserved 5:4  respectfully 4:5  6:24 7:10 11:13  19:12  respond 6:23 15:16  20:2,6  response 15:10,12  15:23 16:14 20:4  responses 14:16,22  review 17:2,18,23  18:2  right 3:21 9:8,12  12:15 13:12  14:24 15:6 16:25  17:17  ROTHSTEIN 1:7  rule 7:19,21 19:21  ruled 11:18</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>S</b></p> <hr/> <p>S 3:1  Sara 1:21 21:4,19  satisfied 5:7,19  satisfying 5:5  saying 8:10 10:12  10:22  says 5:6  Scarola 2:7,10 3:8  3:22 4:10,17,20  7:25 8:16,18  11:18 12:16 13:6  13:23 14:4,6,8  14:14,19,20 15:3  15:7 16:3,6,25  18:20,24 19:1,13  20:2,6,10,12  Scarola's 3:18 4:6  7:10,13 14:17  17:15,24 18:10  schedule 4:15,16  12:15  scheduled 12:11  13:2,7  schedules 12:21  scheduling 4:14  SCOTT 1:7  SE 2:3  Searcy 2:7  see 12:15  seeking 16:15  send 17:2  sent 3:18  set 3:19,23,24  5:23,25 6:6 9:12  9:14 11:17 12:1  12:2,8,22 14:3  sign 19:19  simple 11:7  simply 5:24 16:16  sir 4:16,19 6:21  12:18 13:4 20:12  sitting 10:19  situation 18:3  small 13:22  Somebody 13:12  sorry 3:4 7:7,20  special 5:23 6:5  7:21 8:5  specially 3:19  9:14 11:17  specially-set 4:7  spelled 19:8  standard 7:19 9:20  State 21:5  statute 8:6  statutes 8:7</p>
--	--	--	---

<b>statutory</b> 5:5, 8, 20	19:24	<b>years</b> 14:25
<b>stenographic</b> 21:9	<b>told</b> 18:19, 20	<hr/>
<b>stenographically</b>	<b>Tonja</b> 2:3, 5 3:10	<b>1</b>
1:21 21:6	<b>TONJA@TONJAHAD...</b>	1 7:20 21:7
<b>stop</b> 3:11 8:9	2:5	<b>1.120 (G)</b> 8:6
<b>Storey</b> 1:21 21:4	<b>total</b> 15:15	<b>1.200</b> 7:22
21:19	<b>tracks</b> 16:9	<b>1.210</b> 7:22
<b>Street</b> 2:3	<b>transcript</b> 21:7	<b>11th</b> 13:10, 20
<b>strong</b> 11:21	<b>transparent</b> 5:24	<b>15</b> 9:6, 6, 10 11:17
<b>stuff</b> 9:17	<b>trial</b> 6:1, 20	11:22
<b>subject</b> 4:24	<b>tried</b> 11:17	<b>18th</b> 10:6
<b>submit</b> 15:19	<b>true</b> 21:8	<hr/>
<b>submitted</b> 10:2	<b>try</b> 12:24	<b>2</b>
15:23 16:10	<b>trying</b> 11:19, 19	20 9:2 21:8
17:16	19:15	<b>2009</b> 5:25 6:20
<b>sufficient</b> 8:13	<b>two</b> 4:23, 25 5:2	<b>2013</b> 1:15 21:11
<b>suggest</b> 5:23	7:17	<b>205</b> 1:17
<b>suggested</b> 15:8	<hr/>	<b>2139</b> 2:8
<b>support</b> 7:18 10:4	<b>U</b>	<hr/>
10:5	<b>ultimate</b> 8:14	<b>3</b>
<b>supported</b> 6:13	<b>UMC</b> 12:1	<b>30</b> 9:5 12:21 14:18
<b>supports</b> 8:17	<b>unchanged</b> 5:13	15:8, 13
<b>sure</b> 8:9 16:2	<b>understand</b> 8:10	<b>315</b> 2:3
19:23	9:23 11:10, 13	<b>33301</b> 2:4
<b>surprise</b> 16:12	17:6	<b>33409</b> 2:8
<hr/>	<b>unilaterally</b> 3:23	<hr/>
<b>T</b>	<b>unique</b> 9:21	<b>4</b>
<b>take</b> 3:5	<b>unsuccessfully</b>	4 1:15
<b>takes</b> 6:3	5:14	<b>4th</b> 21:11
<b>Tampa</b> 13:8	<hr/>	40 9:4
<b>tell</b> 7:2 10:14	<b>V</b>	<hr/>
11:2 19:7	<b>virtually</b> 7:25	<b>5</b>
<b>telling</b> 10:21, 24	<b>voluminous</b> 7:15	<b>561.686.6300</b> 2:9
<b>ten</b> 15:11, 21	14:25	<hr/>
<b>terms</b> 16:7	<b>vs</b> 1:6	<b>7</b>
<b>Thank</b> 4:17, 20 6:21	<hr/>	<b>7th</b> 2:3
12:13 13:21	<b>W</b>	<b>7:00</b> 13:9
18:24 20:1, 9, 10	<b>wait</b> 5:22	<b>70</b> 15:15
<b>Thanks</b> 19:1	<b>want</b> 3:7 7:3 9:8	<b>768.72</b> 8:6
<b>thing</b> 10:19	9:21 11:2 12:24	<hr/>
<b>things</b> 13:2	15:13 17:8 18:15	<b>8</b>
<b>think</b> 4:1 9:24	<b>warrant</b> 6:5	<b>8:00</b> 13:1, 2, 6, 10
17:21	<b>wasn't</b> 18:17, 19, 20	<b>8:15</b> 13:16, 19, 19
<b>thinking</b> 14:19	<b>way</b> 4:3 16:13	<b>8:45</b> 3:12 6:2, 19
19:8	<b>Wednesday</b> 12:2, 3	<hr/>
<b>third</b> 4:23 5:14	12:10 13:7, 8	<b>9</b>
<b>thought</b> 18:6 19:9	14:4	<b>9C</b> 1:17
19:9	<b>week</b> 15:22	<b>9:01</b> 1:16
<b>Thursday</b> 4:3 14:1	<b>West</b> 2:8	<b>9:18</b> 1:16 20:13
14:12 18:17	<b>we've</b> 7:16 11:14	<b>954.467.1223</b> 2:4
<b>time</b> 4:15 8:12, 22	<b>wherefore</b> 5:1, 1	
8:25 12:4 13:14	<b>words</b> 17:24	
13:24 14:5 15:8	<b>working</b> 14:14	
15:9, 11 19:22	<b>wouldn't</b> 3:7	
<b>timely</b> 15:20	<b>wrong</b> 7:12 10:14	
<b>times</b> 11:6, 14	<hr/>	
<b>today</b> 3:24, 25 12:4	<b>Y</b>	
12:6, 8, 9 15:21	<b>Yeah</b> 19:4	