

Dear [Should I address this to Ms. Pinney, Ms. Carbon, the AG? I would copy the others]:

I understand that as part of the Department of Justice's annual efforts to confirm the residence addresses of registered sex offenders in the Territory, on August 11, 2014, the unannounced required visit was made to Mr. Epstein's permanent residence at Little St. James Island by Ms. Pinney, four members of the Virgin Islands Police Department and a representative from DPNR. I understand that the group docked at the only dock on Little St. James and made a request of one of Mr. Epstein's employees to be given access beyond the dock to the interior of Little St. James to view and photograph Mr. Epstein's "living area". Though I understand that the Department's personnel were immediately notified that Mr. Epstein was not in residence, it was acknowledged that they knew this fact. Upon accessing the interior of Little St. James and photographing the exteriors of structures observed, the group returned to the dock and departed Little St. James. I also understand that, as Ms. Pinney verbally confirmed to Mr. Epstein's representatives, and as a result of Mr. Epstein's timely prior travel notice, the group was aware that Mr. Epstein was not in the Territory at the time of this visit.

To ensure Mr. Epstein's and his employees' continued compliance with all proper legal requirements relating to Mr. Epstein's sex offender status, we immediately sought information from the Department of Justice regarding the procedures required for the annual residency confirmation visits conducted by the Department. Based on the information obtained, we understand that the uniform procedure for such visits is for Department of Justice representatives, when greeted at the door of a registered offender's reported residence, to confirm with the person who greets them that the registered sex offender actually resides at that address. We also understand that once the registered offender's residency is confirmed, the visit is ended with a photograph taken of the residence exterior. We have also confirmed with the Department that there is no legal basis for access beyond the entrance of a registered offender's reported address, particularly when the offender's residency is confirmed, and permission of the owner/resident is not expressly given.

We believe that there may have been a misunderstanding of both what constitutes Mr. Epstein's residence, and the entrance to it, and what access is required for a residence confirmation visit. As a result of this misunderstanding, and the desire of Mr. Epstein's employees not to violate any of Mr. Epstein's legal obligations, it appears that the requirements of a visit were inadvertently exceeded. Mr. Epstein's residence consists of the entire Island of Little St. James. The main entrance to his home (his front door) is the dock located at Little St. James. Based on information obtained from the Department regarding the residence confirmation procedures, it is our understanding that once Department representatives were met at the dock (i.e., the front door of Mr. Epstein's home) and confirmed that Mr. Epstein resides at Little St. James, there was no basis to ask for access to the interior of Little St. James, as all of Little St. James is Mr. Epstein's "Living Area". May I

respectfully request that you confirm this is correct, so that I may instruct Mr. Epstein's employees about how they may proceed without fear of mistakenly violating Mr. Epstein's rights or the law at future visits.

Also in the hope of avoiding future misunderstandings, I thought it would be helpful to clarify that, other than Mr. Epstein, himself, no individual has any authority whatsoever to grant access to the interior of Little St. James to anyone without specific express permission from Mr. Epstein. Consequently, should such access be sought in the future and Mr. Epstein is not personally available to respond to a request, please direct your requests to me so that I may forward them to Mr. Epstein.

I thank you for the opportunity to clear up these misunderstandings and look forward to Mr. Epstein's continued diligent compliance with the Territory's legal requirements.

Respectfully,

Darren K. Indyke