

It has recently been determined that an investment that was to have been made in the name of

Financial Trust Company, Inc., ("FTC"), an Economic Development Commission ("EDC") beneficiary,

via The Haze Trust ("THT"), was inadvertently titled in the name of Jeffrey Epstein individually. It was

further noted that the trust was also formed incorrectly as a grantor trust of which Mr. Epstein was the both

the grantor and the beneficiary. Due to the contemporaneous nature of the formation of both FTC and

the THT and the clear recollection of the parties involved in the formation of the entities, the conclusion

that THT was at all times intended to be to be the owner of the investment and wholly owned by FTC is

reasonable.

Shortly after its formation in 1999, The Haze Trust made investments in several hedge funds, including

Highbridge Capital Corporation ("HCC"). These investments generated substantial income, much of

which was passive foreign investment company ("PFIC") ordinary income and capital gain. A qualified

electing fund election ("QEF") was made with respect to such investment.

Although a United States shareholder in a QEF is currently taxed on its undistributed earnings, the

shareholder may elect annually to defer the payment of the tax on those earnings, subject to an interest

charge. IRC §1294(a)(1). Specifically, Section 1294(a) provides for the extension of payment of any

"undistributed PFIC earnings tax liability." This term is defined as the excess of the tax imposed for the

taxable year over the tax which would be imposed without regard to the inclusion in gross income under

Section 1293 of the undistributed earnings of a qualified electing fund. IRC §1294(b)(1). Undistributed

earnings with respect to any qualified electing fund is the excess of (1) the amount includible in gross

income by reason of Section 1293(a) over (2) the amount not includible in gross income as previously

taxed amounts under Section 1293(c). For the years 1999 through 2006, a section 1294 election was

made with respect to the investment in HCC. During the years 2007 through 2012, the taxes were paid

currently on the PFIC income generated.

On July 15, 2014, an order to liquidate THT interest in HCC effective August 31, 2014 was entered. The

liquidation of the interest will result in the termination of the election to extend the date for payment of

tax and all taxes previously deferred for the 1999 through 2006 years will become due.

Memorandum to Jeffrey Epstein

August 28, 2014

Page 2

Two questions have arisen with respect to the liquidation of the investment, namely, (1) did a transfer

of the interest in HCC from the grantor to Southern Financial, LLC ("SF") as of March 23, 2013 cause

the termination of the section 1294 election resulting in the tax liability becoming due and owing by

the grantor as of 12/31/14 and (2) if the transfer did not result in the termination of the election, is the

deferred tax that will be due and owing from SF in 2014 eligible for the EDC credit. Each question is

addressed below.

We have concluded based upon our research that the transfer of the interest in HCC from the grantor to

SF did not terminate the section 1294 election.

It should be noted that at all times relevant to this analysis, SF was wholly owned by Southern Trust

Company, Inc., an S corporation, which was wholly owned by Jeffrey Epstein. Termination of a section

1294 extension does not to apply in the case of a transfer in a transaction with respect to which

gain or loss is not recognized (in whole or in part), and the transferee in the transaction succeeds to

the treatment under Section 1294 of the transferor. IRC §1294(c)(2). It is anticipated that through

Regulations, this relief will be provided only in a transaction where the transferee takes a carryover

basis in the stock received and is subject to United States tax on a subsequent transfer of the stock.

See HR Rep. No. 795, 100th

investment to SF, would be a non-recognition transaction under IRC § 351. The IRS has not issued

regulations yet for the non-recognition exception. However, in this case, there is no avoidance of the

ultimate amount of tax that will be due since the grantor is still a U.S. Virgin Islands resident. So the

non-recognition transaction would be compliant with the guidelines of the House Report cited.

Having determined that the transfer from the grantor to SF did not result in a termination at the time of

transfer, the remaining question is whether the tax due in 2014 from the liquidation of the investment

is eligible for the EDC credit. As noted above, despite the incorrect titling and tax treatment of the

investment, it was intended that THT be owned by FTC. All relevant returns have been filed for the

years 2006 through 2012 and no amended returns will be filed. The PFIC income was incorrectly

reported on Jeffrey Epstein's Forms 1040. It was intended that the PFIC income be earned by FTC.

We have assumed for purposes of this memorandum that the PFIC income was USVI sourced or

effectively connected income at the time it was earned and therefore, would be eligible for the EDC

credit. As the investment is now owned by SF, which also has EDC benefits, and which succeeded to

all of the assets of FTC, it follows that any tax due would also be eligible for the credit.

Title 29, chapter 12 section 713b of the Virgin Islands Code provides that each applicant, who is

granted an industrial development certificate, shall have his income tax liability, for income derived from

the business or industry for which the certificate is granted, reduced on a current basis. We

note that we have found no case law or statutory authority on point with respect to our conclusion, therefore

it is possible that the position could be challenged and the Virgin Islands Bureau of Internal Revenue .