

## Memorandum

To: File (Jane Does #1 & 2 v. United States)  
From: [REDACTED]  
Re: Call with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]  
Date: June 13, 2019

On May 17, 2019, [REDACTED] and I had a call with [REDACTED] and her attorney, [REDACTED] which lasted approximately 30 minutes. This call was a follow-up to a call I previously had with [REDACTED] in which I indicated that we were interested in identifying the victims he represented in the Jeffrey Epstein matter and determining whether any of those individuals is willing to speak with us about potential remedies in the CVRA civil litigation. [REDACTED] stated that he had regular contact with [REDACTED] and believed that she would be willing to speak with us, but he had no current contact information for another client, [REDACTED]. He could not recall the name of his third client who was a victim in the Epstein matter.

During the May 17 call, we introduced ourselves, our role representing the government in the CVRA civil action, and our interest in hearing victims' views about potential remedies in that action. We noted that we had a few preliminary questions about her involvement with Epstein, but we would not ask her about any details in this preliminary call. [REDACTED] said that her interactions with Epstein took place when she was a minor, and she only met with him in Florida. She said she has not previously spoken to anyone in law enforcement about Epstein, though [REDACTED] noted that he informed AUSA [REDACTED] about [REDACTED]'s civil suit against Epstein. No law enforcement interview with [REDACTED] was arranged, but [REDACTED] could not recall the date in which he told [REDACTED] about [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that the non-prosecution agreement was completed before he knew anything about it, however.

According to [REDACTED], [REDACTED] civil case (Civil Action No. [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]) was filed on December 3, 2008 and settled in June 2010. [REDACTED] took multiple depositions, including two depositions of Epstein, and exchanged written discovery requests and responses. [REDACTED] was not deposed, but she did undergo an independent psychological examination. [REDACTED] stated

that the provisions of the non-prosecution agreement were never invoked, and Epstein contested liability before settling the lawsuit.

In response to our question about her preferred remedy in the CVRA action, [REDACTED] stated that she believes the non-prosecution agreement should be rescinded, wants Epstein to be prosecuted, and supports reparations to the victims. She said that if he's not prosecuted, she wants full disclosure of all details of the case and believes that Alex Acosta should step down.

We told [REDACTED] that we may reach out to her again for additional information about Epstein if she's willing to speak with us, and we thanked her for her time.