

Memorandum

To: File (Jane Does #1 & 2 v/ United States)

From: [REDACTED]

Re: Telephone call with [REDACTED]

Date: July 1, 2019

On July 1, 2019, at approximately 1:30 pm, [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and I called [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] reminded [REDACTED] of the initial telephone conversation with her about a month ago, that they were in the process of retaining an investigator for this case. Now that they have retained an investigator, [REDACTED], their office can proceed with interviewing the victims for the civil case.

[REDACTED] said that her mother sent her an article that Jeffrey Epstein original sentence would stand.

[REDACTED] first explained to [REDACTED] that the U.S. Attorney's Office in Atlanta was assigned by the Department of Justice headquarters to handle the civil case filed by Epstein's victims. The United States District for the Southern District of Florida held that by entering into a non-prosecution agreement without first consulting with the victims, the government violated the Crimes Victim's Rights Act. [REDACTED] stated that they are communicating with Epstein's victims to listen and gather different remedies that should be given to her and the victims to this case.

[REDACTED] listed some of the potential remedies suggested by the government:

- 1- The opportunity for the victim to speak in front of the court with Epstein present, which is similar to the Victim Impact Statement in a criminal case.
- 2- For someone from the Justice Department to speak with the victims and explain as to why this happened the way it happened.
- 3- Provide training to the person(s) involved in the original case to prevent these things from happening again.

[REDACTED] asked [REDACTED] how she was doing. [REDACTED] stated that everything that happened with Epstein has been popping up more in her head. [REDACTED] wanted to make sure that [REDACTED] was ok. [REDACTED] said that she has never been to a counselor and never thought about going to a counselor, until now. She believes that she would probably benefit from going to one. [REDACTED] also mentioned that she never told her fiancé of seven years, of what happened when she was [REDACTED], until now. She went on to explain that she told her fiancé that she only saw Epstein once. Her fiancé was very supportive and gave her the liberty to make her own decision.

[REDACTED] explained to [REDACTED] that she was not obligated to come in front of the court to speak, if she doesn't want too. And regardless if she doesn't want to participate in the civil case, she can still receive assistance.

[REDACTED] explained in her view an appropriate remedy for the victims:

- 1- For Epstein to be criminally prosecuted
- 2- A fair outcome so that something can be done for the victims
- 3- Speaking publicly will help but will not change anything

██████ also asked if the court will explain why it happened. ██████ said that this is an unprecedented civil lawsuit. Whether the government can lawfully prosecute Epstein for a crime in the Southern District of Florida is up to the courts for now.

██████ outlined all possible remedies for the civil case: public hearing, monetary and training. In her opinion training will not help the victims much but is in support of a public hearing and requiring Epstein to be present. As far as monetary judgments, she wanted to know where the money would come from. ██████ explained that some of the victim's attorneys have suggested monetary compensation but it's uncertain from where will this money come from. Since the lawsuit is against the government not against Epstein, Epstein is not the one who would pay.

██████ said to ██████ that it sounds like she's leaning more for Epstein to be prosecuted again and it's unknown if that's possible in the Southern District of Florida. She also stated that a victim's advocate counselor will call her if she wants to provide assistance.

The call ended approximately 1:59 pm.